THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER:—" They are proposing to have a legislation, Sir, to cover such cases wherein the High Court has said that the inams would not come under the definition of 'estate'."

SRI S. VEMIAH:—" When was this representation received by the Government, Sir?"

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER:—" I cannot exactly tell the date. But it was some time ago."

SRI B. SANKARAYYA:—" 1949 వ సంవత్సరంలోనే ఈనాం రద్దు బిల్లును పాస్ చేస్తామని ప్రభుత్వం వాగ్దానం చేసింది. కాని ఇంతవరకు అలా చేయనందు వల్ల రైతులు ఎన్నో కష్ట, నష్టాల పాలుగావలసి వస్తోంది అని ప్రభుత్వంవారికి తెలుసా? అయితే ఈ నాముల రద్దు బిల్లును వెంటనే తీసుకొని వస్తారా?"

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER:—" I am aware of the difficulties of the ryots. That is why I am trying to bring in legislation."

SRI G. LATCHANNA:—" Does the Bill recently published in the *Fort St. George Gazette* contain this legislation, Sir?"

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER:—" I think that is not the Bill. It relates to some other subject."

SRI C. V. K. RAO:—" In a recent press report it was said that the Government would come before this House with a comprehensive agrarian legislation. Can the Hon. Minister give us an idea of this legislation and when it will be brought in?"

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER:—" I am not responsible for the use of the word 'comprehensive' in the press report."

SRI CHANDRA RAMALINGIAH:—" ప్రభుత్వం తెచ్చే బిల్లు రైతులకు అనుకూలంగా ఉంటుందో లేక ఈనాందార్లకు అనుకూలంగా ఉంటుందో చెబుతారా?"

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER:—" For the benefit of the ryots, Sir."

Grant of permits by the Regional Transport Authority, Malabar.

* 338 *Q.*—SRI A. APPU: Will the Hon. the Minister for Industries and Labour be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that one P. Pachukutty applied for stage carriage permits to the Regional Transport Authority, Malabar, as early as October and November 1951 for the Calicut-Balusseri via Kakkodi route and Calicut-Perambra via Kakkodi and Balusseri route;

(*b*) whether in pursuance of those applications the Regional Transport Officer, Kozhikode, issued notifications under section 47 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act inviting objections;

8th December 1952]

(c) whether the N.V.G.B. Service submitted their objections in March 1952;

(d) whether the said matter has not so far been disposed of by the concerned authorities; and

(e) if so, the reasons for the delay?

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO:—" (a) The answer is in the affirmative.

" (b) The applications were notified for preliminary representations but not under section 47 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act.

" (c) & (d) The answer is in the affirmative.

" (e) The applications of Sri P. Pachukutty were for additional buses on the routes. Necessary enquiries had to be made regarding the maximum number of buses to be allowed on each route in the district after a proper traffic survey. This accounts for the delay."

SRI A. APPU:—" Is the Hon. Minister aware that the N.V.G. Bus Service has the sole monopoly on this line and that it was through the influence of the management of this bus service that the application was delayed by the Regional Transport Officer for a year?"

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO:—" I am not aware of the allegations made by the hon. Member."

SRI A. APPU:—" Will the Minister be pleased to make enquiries and find out whether what I say is not a fact and that it was the reason why there was so much delay in putting up the case before the Regional Transport Authority?"

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO:—" As far as the delay is concerned, I can tell the hon. Member that the objections were received in March, that the matter was placed before the Regional Transport Authority in July which had to adjourn for a report from the Police and that on 22nd September 1952 the matter came up before the Regional Transport Authority. From the information available, on 29th September 1952, it ordered that one bus would be allowed to ply. Because of the various agencies through which the matter has to pass, there is delay."

Representations from the Tanjore District Kisan Sabha, Tiruvarur.

* 339 Q.—SRI T. VISWANATHAM: Will the Hon. the Minister for Land Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government have received a petition from the Tanjore District Kisan Sabha of Tiruvarur complaining of hardships to the tenants and of the unpleasant treatment meted out to the tenants by the Conciliation Officers for Nagapattinam and Nannilam; and

(b) if so, what action has been taken on that ?